

**Safety and Efficacy of the ADAPT Technique as First-Line Treatment in Patients with Acute Ischemic Strokes Treated with Mechanical Thrombectomy: ADAPT Learning Curve & Increased Efficacy with Evolving Aspiration Catheter Technology**

|               |   |
|---------------|---|
| Journal:      | <i>Journal of NeuroInterventional Surgery</i> |
| Manuscript ID | Draft   |
| Article Type: | Original research                             |
| Keywords:     | Stroke, Catheter, Intervention                |
|               |   |

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

Review Only

**ABSTRACT****Purpose:**

To report the safety and efficacy of A Direct Aspiration first-Pass Thrombectomy (ADAPT) technique as first-line treatment for anterior circulation emergent large vessel occlusions (ELVO), assess the presence of an ADAPT learning curve and its efficacy with evolving aspiration catheter technology.

**Methods:**

We retrospectively reviewed 100 consecutive patients with anterior circulation ELVOs treated with the ADAPT technique as first-line therapy at our institution. Baseline characteristics, procedural variables, and modified Rankin Scale (mRS) at 90 days were recorded.

**Results:**

Eighty-four patients were treated with ADAPT only and 16 patients required Solumbra rescue. Overall successful reperfusion rate was 90%, with 38.7-minute mean groin puncture to reperfusion time, 5% embolization to new territory rate, and 3% symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage rate. The successful reperfusion rate using ADAPT only was 78%. A good clinical outcome, 90-day mRS 0-2, was achieved in 46% of patients. Compared to the first 20 cases, in the subsequent 80 cases the successful reperfusion rate with ADAPT only was significantly higher (50% vs 85%,  $p=0.002$ ), and the Solumbra rescue rate was significantly lower (45% vs 8.8%,  $p<0.001$ ). Successful reperfusion with ADAPT only was 64% with ACE60, 88% with ACE64, and 100% with ACE68 ( $p=0.003$ ). The Solumbra rescue rate was 29% with ACE60, 3% with ACE64 and 0 with ACE68 ( $p=0.001$ ).

**Conclusion:**

The ADAPT technique is a safe and effective first-line treatment for patients with anterior circulation ELVOs. Increased operator experience with the ADAPT technique and use of larger ACE aspiration catheters may lead to its improved efficacy.

## INTRODUCTION

After landmark randomized controlled trials showed that patients with acute ischemic strokes due to anterior circulation emergent large vessel occlusions (ELVO) had better clinical outcomes when best medical management was followed by mechanical thrombectomy [1-6], this strategy is now the standard of care in this patient population [7].

Although there is debate in the neurointerventional community regarding what is the safest and most effective technique for performing mechanical thrombectomy [8-15], direct aspiration at the face of the thrombus using A Direct Aspiration first-Pass Thrombectomy (ADAPT) technique with a large-bore aspiration catheter such as the ACE 60, ACE 64 or ACE 68 catheters (Penumbra, Alameda, CA) has gained increased acceptance as first-line treatment [16-25]. Further, stent-retrievers can be used as rescue devices when ADAPT only fails to achieve successful reperfusion (Solombra technique) [16-30].

The purpose of this study is to report rates of successful reperfusion and clinical outcomes in a consecutive cohort of patients with anterior circulation ELVOs who underwent mechanical thrombectomy using the ADAPT technique as first-line treatment, to examine the presence of a learning curve to the ADAPT technique, and to assess its efficacy with evolving aspiration catheter technology.

## METHODS

Our study was approved by our hospital's institutional review board and conducted in compliance with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act. We analyzed our prospectively-maintained institutional neurointerventional database to examine the radiological and clinical outcomes in patients with anterior-circulation ELVOs who were treated with mechanical thrombectomy using the ADAPT technique as first-line treatment between July 12<sup>th</sup>, 2013 and September 15<sup>th</sup>, 2016.

### ***Medical Record Review***

We recorded baseline patient and radiological characteristics, procedural variables, periprocedural complications and clinical outcomes at 90 days in a consecutive cohort of patients with ELVOs treated with mechanical thrombectomy using the ADAPT technique as first-line treatment at our institution during the study period. The patient cohort was divided into 2 groups: (1) patients who underwent mechanical thrombectomy using the ADAPT technique only (ADAPT-only group), and (2) patients who underwent mechanical thrombectomy using the ADAPT technique first but required stent-retriever rescue (Solombra-rescue group).

### ***Mechanical Thrombectomy Exclusion Criteria***

Institutional exclusion criteria for mechanical thrombectomy were: (1) mild stroke symptoms, defined as an admission National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS)  $<5$  without aphasia, (2) presence of a large completed territorial infarction by non-contrast CT (NCCT), defined as an Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score (ASPECTS)  $<5$ , or (3) any intracranial hemorrhage. There was no strict time from last known well (LKW) cut-off for exclusion, as long as the NCCT ASPECTS was  $\geq 5$ . CT angiography was generally performed in older patients to document an ELVO but was not required in younger patients with high admission NIHSS and LKW  $\leq 6$  hours.

### ***Mechanical Thrombectomy Technique***

The mechanical thrombectomy procedure was performed in a biplane neuroangiography suite (Axiom Artis, Siemens, Munich, Germany) by 1 of 3 neurointerventional radiologists with 5 to 15 years of experience in neurointervention. The procedure was performed under monitored minimal to moderate conscious sedation with intravenous fentanyl administered by a sedation nurse. In patients requiring intubation prior to mechanical thrombectomy for airway protection, the procedure was performed under a propofol infusion administered by a sedation nurse.

1 **a. ADAPT Technique**

2  
3 The ADAPT technique was performed using a short 8F sheath through which a long sheath was  
4 positioned in the distal cervical vasculature (NeuronMax 088, Penumbra) using either an H1 or Simmons  
5 Select catheter (Penumbra). Then, a coaxial assembly comprising (1) a large-bore aspiration catheter  
6 (ACE 60, 64 or 68, Penumbra) and (2) a 0.025” microcatheter (Velocity, Penumbra) or 3 Max catheter  
7 (Penumbra) with an 0.016” microwire (double-angled Headliner, Terumo Medical, Somerset, NJ) was  
8 introduced through the sheath. The Velocity microcatheter or 3 Max catheter was then navigated past the  
9 site of occlusion over the microwire, over which the large-bore aspiration catheter was advanced to the  
10 face of the thrombus. The Velocity microcatheter or 3 Max catheter and the microwire were then  
11 removed, the aspiration tubing was connected directly to the hub of the large-bore aspiration catheter, and  
12 the Penumbra aspiration pump was turned on. After 30 to 90 seconds, the aspiration catheter was gently  
13 advanced into the thrombus and then slowly withdrawn under continuous aspiration until free blood flow  
14 was seen in the aspiration tubing. Then, the aspiration tubing was disconnected from the hub of the large  
15 bore aspiration catheter and the catheter was manually aspirated with a syringe to remove any residual  
16 thrombus fragments. If free blood flow was not seen in the aspiration tubing during aspiration catheter  
17 withdrawal, then the catheter was slowly removed from the patient under continuous aspiration from the  
18 Penumbra pump and the NeuronMax 088 was manually aspirated with a syringe to remove any residual  
19 thrombus fragments. This process was repeated until successful reperfusion (TICI 2b/3) was achieved.  
20 However, if 3-4 ADAPT passes failed to achieve incremental reperfusion, then Solumbra rescue was  
21 employed.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 **b. Solumbra Rescue Technique**

52 As with the ADAPT technique, a Velocity microcatheter and reperfusion catheter were positioned  
53 distal to and at the site of occlusion, respectively. Then, a stent-retriever (either 4mm x 40cm or 6mm x  
54 30mm Solitaire FR device, Medtronic Neurovascular, Irvine, CA) was advanced through the  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1 microcatheter and deployed across the thrombus. The microcatheter was removed completely from the  
2 patient. After 3 minutes, the aspiration catheter was connected to continuous aspiration from the  
3 Penumbra pump, and tension was applied on the stent-retriever delivery wire to pull it into the aspiration  
4 catheter while simultaneously advancing the aspiration catheter up to the face of thrombus. If the  
5 thrombus was lodged between the stent-retriever and the tip of the aspiration catheter, then the system  
6 was carefully removed as a unit under continuous aspiration while also manually aspirating through the  
7 long sheath positioned in the cervical vasculature. This process was repeated until successful reperfusion  
8 (TICI 2b/3) was achieved or the procedure was terminated.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

### 22 *Image Analysis*

23  
24 Two experienced neurointerventionalists reviewed the (1) pre-treatment NCCTs to determine the  
25 baseline ASPECTS, (2) treatment angiograms to determine thrombus location and post-thrombectomy  
26 Thrombolysis In Cerebral Infarction (TICI) score, (3) follow-up NCCTs to determine the presence and  
27 type of intracranial hemorrhage, and (4) pre-treatment CT angiograms, treatment angiograms and/or post-  
28 treatment MR angiograms to determine the degree of cervical vascular tortuosity in the common and  
29 internal carotid arteries (CCA, ICA) proximal to the ELVO using the methodology described by Faggioli  
30 *et al.* [31]. Severe vascular tortuosity proximal to the ELVO was defined as  $\geq 360$  degrees in the combined  
31 common and internal carotid artery tortuosity score. Differences in image interpretation were resolved by  
32 consensus.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 In cases where intracranial hemorrhage was present in the post-treatment NCCT, consensus with a  
46 vascular neurologist independent of the procedure was reached to determine if the hemorrhage was  
47 symptomatic according to the SITS-MOST criteria [32].  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

### *Clinical Outcomes*

A modified Rankin Scale (mRS) at 30 and 90 days post-thrombectomy was obtained during routine clinical follow-up visits performed by 1 of 2 nurse practitioners independent of the procedure and certified in the modified Rankin Scale. If the patient was unable to return to clinic for a follow-up visit, an mRS was obtained using a validated phone interview questionnaire [33]. A good clinical outcome was defined as functional independence, mRS 0-2, at the time of the 90-day follow-up.

### *Statistical Analysis*

Statistical analysis was performed utilizing the MedCalc 11.1 software package (MedCalc Software, Mariakerke, Belgium). Student's t-test was used to analyze continuous variables. Fisher's exact or Chi-square tests were used to analyze categorical variables. A p-value  $\leq 0.05$  was considered statistically-significant.

## **RESULTS**

From July 12<sup>th</sup>, 2013 until September 15<sup>th</sup>, 2016, 135 patients with acute ischemic strokes due to an ELVO underwent mechanical thrombectomy at our institution. Eight of these patients had a posterior-circulation ELVO (5.9%), 5 patients were enrolled in a mechanical thrombectomy randomized controlled trial (3.7%), 4 patients were enrolled in an investigational thrombectomy device clinical trial (3%), and 18 patients were treated with the Solumbra technique as first-line treatment (13.3%).

Hence, 100 patients with anterior-circulation ELVOs were treated with mechanical thrombectomy using the ADAPT technique as first-line treatment during the study period at our institution, comprising this study's cohort. Among these patients, 86 were treated with ADAPT only and 16 were treated with ADAPT first followed by Solumbra rescue. The aspiration catheter utilized for the ADAPT technique was an ACE 60 in 45 cases, ACE 64 in 34 cases, ACE 68 in 16 cases, NeuronMax in 3 cases (all cervical internal carotid artery thrombi), and 3 Max in 2 cases (both M3 thrombi).

1 Table 1 summarizes the baseline clinical and radiological patient characteristics. There were no  
2  
3 significant differences in baseline clinical and radiological characteristics between patients in the  
4  
5 ADAPT-only and Solumbra-rescue groups.  
6

7  
8 Table 2 summarizes the procedural variables. Overall, successful reperfusion using the ADAPT  
9  
10 technique as first-line treatment was achieved in 90 patients, including 78 patients in whom it was  
11  
12 achieved with ADAPT only. The mean times from groin puncture to reperfusion with ADAPT only and  
13  
14 Solumbra techniques were 30.5 and 81.8 minutes, respectively. The mean number of passes in which  
15  
16 ADAPT only achieved successful reperfusion was 2.4 (median 2, range 1-9). The mean number of  
17  
18 ADAPT passes prior to switching to Solumbra rescue was 2.8 (median 3, range 1-6). The mean number of  
19  
20 passes with the Solumbra technique after failed ADAPT was 2.5 (median 2, range 1-9) overall and 2.7  
21  
22 (median 2, range 1-9) for cases in which reperfusion was successful. Of note, among the 78 cases in  
23  
24 which successful reperfusion was achieved with ADAPT only, this was achieved after 1-2 passes in 50  
25  
26 cases (64%) and after  $\geq 3$  passes in 28 cases (36%).  
27  
28  
29  
30

31 Table 3 summarizes the clinical outcomes. The symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage (SICH) rate  
32  
33 in our cohort was 3% (2 subarachnoid, 1 intra-parenchymal). Mean lengths of stay in the neurological  
34  
35 intensive care unit and hospital were 3.1 and 6.8 days, respectively. Twenty-five patients were discharged  
36  
37 home and 42 to an acute rehabilitation facility. Overall, 46 patients had a good clinical outcome at 90-  
38  
39 days (mRS 0-2), while 90-day mortality was 21%. There were no significant differences in clinical  
40  
41 outcomes between patients in the ADAPT-only and Solumbra-rescue groups.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

#### 48 *ADAPT Learning Curve*

49

50 Table 4 summarizes procedural variables between the first 20 and subsequent 80 ADAPT cases in  
51  
52 our cohort. Compared to the first 20 ADAPT cases, in the subsequent 80 cases there was a significant  
53  
54 increase in the rate of successful reperfusion with ADAPT only (50% vs 85%, p-value 0.002), a  
55  
56 significant decrease in the rate of Solumbra rescue (45% vs 8.8%, p-value<0.001), and a trend toward  
57  
58  
59  
60



1 shorter mean groin puncture to reperfusion times (48.7 vs 36.2 minutes, p-value 0.09). The mean number  
2 of ADAPT passes prior to switching to Solumbra rescue in the first 20 ADAPT cases was 2.3, while in  
3 the subsequent 80 cases it was 3.3 (p-value 0.23). Further, 26 of the 28 cases (92.9%) in which successful  
4 reperfusion was achieved after  $\geq 3$  ADAPT passes were performed in the latter 80 ADAPT cases. Of note,  
5 18 of the first 20 ADAPT cases were performed with ACE 60 (90%), while 27 of the subsequent 80  
6 ADAPT cases were performed with ACE 60 (33.8%).

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15 Among the 45 ADAPT cases performed with ACE 60, compared to the first 20 cases, in the  
16 subsequent 25 cases there was a significant decrease in the rate of Solumbra rescue (45% vs 16%, p-value  
17 0.049), trends toward increased rates of successful reperfusion with ADAPT only (50% vs 76%, p-value  
18 0.12) and successful reperfusion after 1 ADAPT pass (20% vs 40%, p-value 0.2), and shorter median  
19 groin puncture to reperfusion times (49.5 vs 29 minutes). The mean number of ADAPT passes prior to  
20 switching to Solumbra rescue in the first 20 ACE 60 cases was 2.3, while in the subsequent 25 cases it  
21 was 3.8 (p-value 0.14).  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

### 34 ***Efficacy of the ADAPT Technique with Evolving Aspiration Catheter Technology***

35  
36 Table 5 summarizes procedural variables with the ACE 60, 64 and 68 aspiration catheters in our  
37 cohort. There was a significant decrease in mean groin puncture to reperfusion times between ADAPT  
38 cases performed with ACE 60 and ACE 64/68 (48.8 vs 29.9 minutes, p-value 0.002). There was a  
39 significant difference in the rate of successful reperfusion with ADAPT only between cases performed  
40 with ACE 60 (64.4%), ACE 64 (88.2%) and ACE 68 (100%, p-value 0.003). There was a significant  
41 difference in the rate of Solumbra rescue between cases performed with ACE 60 (28.9%), ACE 64 (2.9%)  
42 and ACE 68 (0, p-value 0.001).  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## DISCUSSION

Our cohort's overall rates of (1) successful reperfusion, 90%, (2) SICH, 3%, (3) embolus to uninvolved territory, 5%, and (4) good clinical outcome at 90-days, 46%, are within the range of the landmark randomized controlled trials [1-6]. Angiographic and clinical results of the ASTER European randomized controlled trial showed that the ADAPT technique was equivalent in safety and efficacy to stent-retriever thrombectomy for patients with anterior circulation ELVOs [15]. Thus, the ADAPT technique is a safe and effective alternative to stent-retriever mechanical thrombectomy for this patient population and represents a valuable option for neurointerventionalists. Further, stent-retriever rescue can easily be utilized in the minority of cases when ADAPT fails to achieve successful reperfusion.

Successful reperfusion using ADAPT only was achieved in 78% of cases. Nevertheless, we achieved significantly higher rates of successful reperfusion with ADAPT only after our first 20 cases (50% vs 85%). Moreover, the median time from groin puncture to reperfusion decreased from 49.5 minutes in the first 20 cases to 25 minutes in the subsequent 80 cases. These findings suggest the presence of a learning curve associated with the ADAPT technique. Navigation of a large-bore reperfusion catheter into the intracranial vasculature and past the ophthalmic artery is similar but not identical to other neurointerventional maneuvers, and efficient execution requires practice. Time to reperfusion may also have been influenced by increased awareness that  $\geq 3$  ADAPT passes may be necessary in order to achieve successful reperfusion before resorting to the more expensive and time-consuming Solumbra technique. These results should be considered in discussions regarding increasing access to mechanical thrombectomy in areas where the financial cost associated with establishing a neurointerventional practice may be prohibitive, as operators must gain sufficient experience to achieve the levels of safety and effectiveness reported in randomized trials.

Although it may not be feasible to completely parse the differences in efficacy related to experience and aspiration catheter diameter in our cohort, and we indeed evidenced increased efficacy of the ADAPT technique among early and latter ACE 60 cases in our cohort, our overall results suggest that

1 newer generation aspiration catheters are more effective. The distal internal diameters of the ACE 60, 64,  
2  
3 and 68 are 1.8mm, 1.9mm, and 2mm, respectively. Since aspiration force is proportional to the square of  
4  
5 the catheter diameter, the ACE 68 generates 25% more aspiration force than the ACE 60. Importantly, the  
6  
7 increased force generated by the larger-bore aspiration catheters was not associated with increased  
8  
9 complications in our cohort.  
10

11  
12 Our study's limitations are the modest sample size, retrospective design, and lack of external  
13  
14 adjudication of angiographic findings and clinical outcomes.  
15  
16  
17

## 18 19 20 **CONCLUSION**

21  
22 The ADAPT technique is a safe and effective first-line treatment for patients with anterior  
23  
24 circulation ELVOs. Increased operator experience with the ADAPT technique and use of larger ACE  
25  
26 aspiration catheters may lead to shorter procedure times, higher rates of successful reperfusion with  
27  
28 ADAPT only and decreased need for stent-retriever rescue.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## REFERENCES

1. Berkhemer OA, Fransen PS, Beumer D, van den Berg LA, Lingsma HF, Yoo AJ, *et al.* A randomized trial of intraarterial treatment for acute ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;372(1):11-20.
2. Goyal M, Demchuk AM, Menon BK, Eesa M, Rempel JL, Thornton J, *et al.* Randomized assessment of rapid endovascular treatment of ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;372(11):1019-30.
3. Campbell BC, Mitchell PJ, Kleinig TJ, Dewey HM, Churilov L, Yassi N, *et al.* Endovascular therapy for ischemic stroke with perfusion-imaging selection. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;372(11):1009-18.
4. Saver JL, Goyal M, Bonafe A, Diener HC, Levy EI, Pereira VM, *et al.* Stent-Retriever Thrombectomy after Intravenous t-PA vs. t-PA Alone in Stroke. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;372(24):2285-95.
5. Jovin TG, Chamorro A, Cobo E, de Miquel MA, Molina CA, Rovira A, *et al.* Thrombectomy within 8 Hours after Symptom Onset in Ischemic Stroke. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;372(24):2296-306.
6. Goyal M, Menon BK, van Zwam WH, Dippel DW, Mitchell PJ, Demchuk AM, *et al.* Endovascular thrombectomy after large-vessel ischaemic stroke. A meta-analysis of individual patient data from five randomised trials. *Lancet.* 2016;387(10029):1723-1731.
7. Jayaraman MV, Hussain MS, Abruzzo T, Albani B, Albuquerque FC, Alexander MJ, *et al.* Embolectomy for stroke with emergent large vessel occlusion (ELVO): report of the Standards and Guidelines Committee of the Society of NeuroInterventional Surgery. *J*

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
- Neurointerv Surg.* 2015;7(5):316-21.
8. Turk AS 3rd, Campbell JM, Spiotta A, Vargas J, Turner RD, Chaudry MI, *et al.* An investigation of the cost and benefit of mechanical thrombectomy for endovascular treatment of acute ischemic stroke. *J Neurointerv Surg.* 2014;6(1):77-80.
9. Turk AS, Turner R, Spiotta A, Vargas J, Holmstedt C, Ozark S, *et al.* Comparison of endovascular treatment approaches for acute ischemic stroke: cost effectiveness, technical success, and clinical outcomes. *J Neurointerv Surg.* 2015;7(9):666-70.
10. Delgado Almandoz JE, Kayan Y, Young ML, Fease JL, Scholz JM, Milner AM, *et al.* Comparison of clinical outcomes in patients with acute ischemic strokes treated with mechanical thrombectomy using either Solumbra or ADAPT techniques. *J Neurointerv Surg.* 2016;8(11):1123-1128.
11. Lapergue B, Blanc R, Guedin P, Decroix J-P, Labreuche J, Preda C, *et al.* A direct aspiration, first pass technique (ADAPT) versus stent retrievers for acute stroke therapy. An observational comparative study. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2016. E-pub ahead of print on June 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2016.
12. Peschillo S, Diana F, Berge J, Missori P. A comparison of acute vascular damage caused by ADAPT versus a stent retriever device after thrombectomy in acute ischemic stroke: a histological and ultrastructural study in an animal model. *J Neurointerv Surg.* 2017;9(8):743-749.
13. Maegerlein C, Prothmann S, Lucia KE, Zimmer C, Friedrich B, Kaesmacher J. Intraprocedural thrombus fragmentation during interventional stroke treatment. A comparison of direct thrombus aspiration and stent retriever thrombectomy. *Cardiovasc*

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
- Intervent Radiol.* 2017;40:987-993.
14. Stapleton CJ, Leslie-Mazwi TM, Torok CM, Hakimelahi R, Hirsch JA, Yoo AJ, *et al.* A direct aspiration first-pass technique vs stentriever thrombectomy in emergent large vessel intracranial occlusions. *J Neurosurg.* 2017. E-pub ahead of print on April 14<sup>th</sup>, 2017.
15. Lapergue B, Blanc R, Gory B, Labreuche J, Duhamel A, Marnat G, *et al.* Effect of Endovascular Contact Aspiration vs Stent Retriever on Revascularization in Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke and Large Vessel Occlusion: The ASTER Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA.* 2017;318(5):443-452.
16. Turk AS, Spiotta A, Frei D, Mocco J, Baxter B, Fiorella D, *et al.* Initial clinical experience with the ADAPT technique: a direct aspiration first pass technique for stroke thrombectomy. *J Neurointerv Surg.* 2014;6(3):231-7.
17. Turk AS, Frei D, Fiorella D, Mocco J, Baxter B, Siddiqui A, *et al.* ADAPT FAST study: a direct aspiration first pass technique for acute stroke thrombectomy. *J Neurointerv Surg.* 2014;6(4):260-4.
18. Kowoll A, Weber A, Mpotsaris A, Behme D, Weber W. Direct aspiration first pass technique for the treatment of acute ischemic stroke: initial experience at a European stroke center. *J Neurointerv Surg.* 2016;8(3):230-4.
19. Vargas J, Spiotta A, Fargen K, Turner R, Chaudry I, Turk A. Long term experience using the ADAPT technique for the treatment of acute ischemic stroke. *J Neurointerv Surg.* 2017;9(5):437-441.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
20. Blanc R, Redjem H, Ciccio G, Smajda S, Desilles JP, Orng E, *et al.* Predictors of the Aspiration Component Success of a Direct Aspiration First Pass Technique (ADAPT) for the Endovascular Treatment of Stroke Reperfusion Strategy in Anterior Circulation Acute Stroke. *Stroke*. 2017;48(6):1588-1593.
21. Mascitelli JR, Kellner CP, Oravec CS, De Leacy RA, Oermann EK, Yaeger K, *et al.* Factors associated with successful revascularization using the aspiration component of ADAPT in the treatment of acute ischemic stroke. *J Neurointerv Surg*. 2017;9(7):636-640.
22. Romano DG, Cioni S, Vinci SL, Pero G, Comelli C, Comai A, *et al.* Thromboaspiration technique as first approach for endovascular treatment of acute ischemic stroke: initial experience at nine Italian stroke centers. *J Neurointerv Surg*. 2017;9(1):6-10.
23. Prothmann S, Friedrich B, Boeckh-Behrens T, Zimmer C, Kaesmacher J, Lucia K, *et al.* Aspiration thrombectomy in clinical routine interventional stroke treatment. Is this the end of the stent retriever era? *Clin Neuroradiol*. 2017. E-pub ahead of print on January 12<sup>th</sup>, 2017.
24. Alawieh A, Pierce AK, Vargas J, Turk AS, Turner RD, Chaudry MI, *et al.* The golden 35 min of stroke intervention with ADAPT: effect of thrombectomy procedural time in acute ischemic stroke on outcome. *J Neurointerv Surg*. 2017. E-pub ahead of print on May 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2017.
25. Altenbernd J, Kuhnt O, Hennigs S, Hilker R, Loehr C. Frontline ADAPT therapy to treat patients with symptomatic M2 and M3 occlusions in acute ischemic stroke: initial experience with the Penumbra ACE and 3MAX reperfusion system. *J Neurointerv Surg*.

1  
2 2017. E-pub ahead of print on August 18<sup>th</sup>, 2017.  
3  
4

5 26. Lee JS, Hong JM, Lee SJ, Joo IS, Lim YC, Kim SY. The combined use of mechanical  
6 thrombectomy devices is feasible for treating acute carotid terminus occlusion. *Acta*  
7  
8 *Neurochir (Wien)*. 2013;155(4):635-41.  
9

10  
11  
12  
13 27. Deshaies EM. Tri-axial system using the Solitaire-FR and Penumbra Aspiration  
14 Microcatheter for acute mechanical thrombectomy. *J Clin Neurosci*. 2013  
15  
16 Sep;20(9):1303-5.  
17  
18

19  
20  
21 28. Massari F, Henninger N, Lozano JD, Patel A, Kuhn AL, Howk M, *et al*. ARTS  
22 (Aspiration-Retriever Technique for Stroke): Initial clinical experience. *Interv*  
23  
24 *Neuroradiol*. 2016;22(3):325-32.  
25  
26

27  
28  
29 29. Jindal G, Serulle Y, Miller T, Le E, Wozniak M, Phipps M, *et al*. Stent retrieval  
30 thrombectomy in acute stroke is facilitated by the concurrent use of intracranial aspiration  
31 catheters. *J Neurointerv Surg*. 2016. E-pub ahead of print on September 1<sup>st</sup>, 2016.  
32  
33

34  
35 30. Maus V, Behme D, Kabbasch C, Borggreffe J, Tsogkas I, Nikoubashman O, *et al*.  
36 Maximizing First-Pass Complete Reperfusion with SAVE. *Clin Neuroradiol*. 2017. E-  
37  
38 pub ahead of print on February 13<sup>th</sup>, 2017.  
39  
40

41  
42 31. Faggioli G, Ferri M, Gargiulo M, Freyrie A, Fratesi F, Manzoli L, *et al*. Measurement  
43 and impact of proximal and distal tortuosity in carotid stenting procedures. *J Vasc Surg*.  
44  
45 2007;46(6):1119-24.  
46  
47

48  
49 32. Wahlgren N, Ahmed N, Dávalos A, Ford GA, Grond M, Hacke W, *et al*. Thrombolysis  
50  
51 with alteplase for acute ischaemic stroke in the Safe Implementation of Thrombolysis in  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2 Stroke-Monitoring Study (SITS-MOST): an observational study. *Lancet*.

3  
4 2007;369(9558):275-82.  
5  
6

- 7  
8 33. Bruno A, Akinwuntan AE, Lin C, Close B, Davis K, Baute V, *et al*. Simplified modified  
9 rankin scale questionnaire: reproducibility over the telephone and validation with quality  
10 of life. *Stroke*. 2011;42(8):2276-9.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Table 1. Baseline Clinical and Radiological Patient Characteristics

|  | All patients,<br>N=100 | ADAPT only,<br>N=84 (%) | Solumbra rescue,<br>N=16 (%) | p-value* |
|--|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|----------|
| <b>Mean age, years</b>                   | 68                     | 67.9                    | 68.7                         | 0.83     |
| <b>Sex</b>                               |                        |                         |                              | 1        |
| Female                                   | 50                     | 42 (50)                 | 8 (50)                       |          |
| Male                                     | 50                     | 42 (50)                 | 8 (50)                       |          |
| <b>Atrial fibrillation</b>               | 35                     | 27 (32)                 | 8 (50)                       | 0.25     |
| <b>Diabetes mellitus</b>                 | 23                     | 18 (21)                 | 5 (31)                       | 0.52     |
| <b>Hypertension</b>                      | 62                     | 53 (63)                 | 9 (56)                       | 0.78     |
| <b>Mean NIHSS</b>                        | 18.2                   | 18.2                    | 18.1                         | 0.94     |
| <b>IV-tPA administration</b>             | 53                     | 46 (55)                 | 7 (44)                       | 0.59     |
| <b>Mean baseline NCCT ASPECTS</b>        | 9                      | 9                       | 9.1                          | 0.62     |
| <b>CT angiography</b>                    | 73                     | 64 (76)                 | 9 (56)                       | 0.13     |
| <b>Thrombus location</b>                 |                        |                         |                              |          |
| ICA                                      | 34                     | 26 (31)                 | 8 (50)                       | 0.16     |
| MCA M1                                   | 47                     | 42 (50)                 | 5 (31)                       | 0.19     |
| MCA M2                                   | 16                     | 13 (16)                 | 3 (19)                       | 1        |
| MCA M3                                   | 3                      | 3 (4)                   | 0                            | 1        |
| Left side                                | 52                     | 44 (52)                 | 8 (50)                       | 1        |
| <b>Tandem ICA origin occlusion</b>       | 20                     | 18 (21)                 | 2 (13)                       | 0.52     |
| <b>Mean cervical tortuosity, degrees</b> | 251                    | 245                     | 284                          | 0.37     |
| Severe, $\geq 360^\circ$                 | 30                     | 23 (27)                 | 7 (44)                       | 0.24     |
| <b>Mean time LKW to puncture, min</b>    | 258                    | 258                     | 261                          | 0.94     |

1 \*p-value for the difference between the ADAPT-only and Solumbra-rescue groups using either Student's  
2 t-test or Fisher's exact test. N: number of patients; NIHSS: National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; IV-  
3 tPA: intravenous tissue plasminogen activator; NCCT: non-contrast computed tomography; ASPECTS:  
4 Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score; CT: computed tomography; ICA: internal carotid artery; MCA:  
5 middle cerebral artery; LKW: last known well; min: minutes  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Table 2. Procedural Variables

|  | All Patients,<br>N=100 | ADAPT only,<br>N=84 (%) | Solumbra rescue,<br>N=16 (%) | p-value* |
|--|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|----------|
| Mean number of ADAPT passes                    | 2.4                    | 2.4                     | 2.8                          | 0.41     |
| Mean number of total device passes             | 2.8                    | 2.4                     | 5.3 <sup>‡</sup>             | <0.0001  |
| IA-tPA administration                          | 2                      | 2 (2)                   | 0                            | 1        |
| Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor administration | 2                      | 2 (2)                   | 0                            | 1        |
| Successful reperfusion (TICI 2b-3)             | 90                     | 78 (93)                 | 12 (75)                      | 0.05     |
| Mean time puncture to reperfusion, min         | 38.7                   | 30.5                    | 81.8                         | <0.0001  |
| Mean time LKW to reperfusion, min              | 297                    | 288                     | 343                          | 0.21     |
| Carotid stent deployment                       | 8                      | 7 (8)                   | 1 (6)                        | 1        |
| Embolus to uninvolved territory                | 5                      | 3 (4)                   | 2 (13)                       | 0.18     |
| Cervical dissection                            | 4                      | 2 (2)                   | 2 (13)                       | 0.12     |
| Vessel perforation                             | 3                      | 3 (4)                   | 0                            | 1        |
| Carotid-Cavernous fistula                      | 1                      | 1 (1)                   | 0                            | 1        |

\*p-value for the difference between the ADAPT-only and Solumbra-rescue groups using either Student's t-test or Fisher's exact test. <sup>‡</sup>Includes total number of ADAPT and Solumbra passes. N: number of patients; IA-tPA: intra-arterial tissue plasminogen activator; TICI: thrombolysis in cerebral ischemia; min: minutes; LKW: last known well.

Table 3. Clinical Outcomes

|                                  | All Patients,<br>N=100 | ADAPT only,<br>N=84 (%) | Solumbra rescue,<br>N=16 (%) | p-value* |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|----------|
| <b>Any post-thrombectomy ICH</b> | 27                     | 23 (27)                 | 4 (25)                       | 1        |
| <b>Any post-thrombectomy SAH</b> | 7                      | 5 (6)                   | 2 (13)                       | 0.59     |
| <b>Post-thrombectomy SICH</b>    | 3                      | 2 (2)                   | 1 (6)                        | 0.41     |
| Intraparenchymal                 | 1                      | 1 (1)                   | 0                            | 1        |
| Subarachnoid                     | 2                      | 1 (1)                   | 1 (6)                        | 0.3      |
| <b>Mean Neuro-ICU LOS, days</b>  | 3.1                    | 3                       | 4                            | 0.31     |
| <b>Mean Hospital LOS, days</b>   | 6.8                    | 6.7                     | 7.4                          | 0.57     |
| <b>Discharge disposition</b>     |                        |                         |                              |          |
| Home                             | 25                     | 23 (27)                 | 2 (13)                       | 0.35     |
| Rehabilitation                   | 42                     | 36 (43)                 | 6 (38)                       | 0.79     |
| SNF                              | 18                     | 15 (18)                 | 3 (19)                       | 1        |
| Expired/Hospice                  | 15                     | 10 (12)                 | 5 (31)                       | 0.06     |
| <b>90-Day mRS</b>                |                        |                         |                              |          |
| 0-2                              | 46                     | 41 (49)                 | 5 (31)                       | 0.28     |
| 3                                | 17                     | 15 (18)                 | 2 (13)                       | 0.73     |
| 4-5                              | 16                     | 13 (16)                 | 3 (19)                       | 1        |
| 6                                | 21                     | 15 (18)                 | 6 (38)                       | 0.1      |

\*p-value for the difference between the ADAPT-only Solumbra-rescue groups using either Student's t-test or Fisher's exact test. N: number of patients; ICH: intracranial hemorrhage; SAH: subarachnoid hemorrhage; SICH: symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage according to SITS-MOST criteria; ICU: intensive care unit; LOS: length of stay; SNF: skilled nursing facility; mRS: modified Rankin Scale.

Table 4. ADAPT Learning Curve

|  | All 100<br>ADAPT<br>Cases | First 20<br>ADAPT<br>Cases (%) | Subsequent 80<br>ADAPT<br>Cases (%) | p-value*         |
|--|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| Mean time puncture to reperfusion, min                 | 38.7                      | 48.7                           | 36.2                                | 0.09             |
| Median time puncture to reperfusion, min               | 26.5                      | 49.5                           | 25                                  | n/a              |
| Mean number of ADAPT passes                            | 2.4                       | 2.1                            | 2.5                                 | 0.27             |
| Successful reperfusion <sup>†</sup> with ADAPT only    | 78                        | 10 (50)                        | 68 (85)                             | <b>0.002</b>     |
| Successful reperfusion <sup>†</sup> after 1 ADAPT pass | 38                        | 6 (30)                         | 32 (40)                             | 0.45             |
| Solombra rescue  | 16                        | 9 (45)                         | 7 (8.8)                             | <b>&lt;0.001</b> |
| Mean number of ADAPT passes before Solombra rescue     | 2.8                       | 2.3                            | 3.3                                 | 0.23             |

\*p-value for the difference between the first 20 and subsequent 80 cases using either Student's t-test or Fisher's exact test. †Defined as thrombolysis in cerebral ischemia 2b, 2c or 3. Min: minutes, n/a: not applicable.

**Table 5. Efficacy of the ADAPT Technique with Evolving Aspiration Catheter Technology**

|  | ACE 60,<br>N=45<br>(%) | ACE 64,<br>N=34<br>(%) | ACE 68,<br>N=16<br>(%) | p-value*     |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| <b>Mean time puncture to reperfusion, min</b>                | 48.8                   | 30.2                   | 29.3                   | <b>0.002</b> |
| <b>Median time puncture to reperfusion, min</b>              | 33                     | 25.5                   | 21                     | n/a          |
| <b>Mean number of ADAPT passes</b>                           | 2.4                    | 2.5                    | 2.6                    | 0.78         |
| <b>Successful reperfusion<sup>†</sup> with ADAPT only</b>    | 29 (64)                | 30 (88)                | 16 (100)               | <b>0.003</b> |
| <b>Successful reperfusion<sup>†</sup> after 1 ADAPT pass</b> | 14 (31)                | 13 (38)                | 8 (50)                 | 0.4          |
| <b>Solombra rescue</b>                                       | 13 (29)                | 1 (3)                  | 0                      | <b>0.001</b> |
| <b>Mean number of ADAPT passes before Solombra rescue</b>    | 2.8                    | 3                      | n/a                    | 0.89         |

\*p-value for the difference between the ACE 60, ACE 64 and ACE 68 using Chi-Square test for categorical variables, or for the difference between ACE 60 and ACE 64/68 using Student's t-test for continuous variables. <sup>†</sup>Defined as thrombolysis in cerebral ischemia 2b, 2c or 3. Min: minutes, n/a: not applicable.